

Question 1

1. The ASCE 31 Tier 1 report recommends “further evaluation,” in the form of a Tier 2 or Tier 3 analysis. We understand that it is the intent of the RFP to suggest that seismic retrofit design proceed, using ASCE 41, based upon the Tier 1 results only, and that a Tier 2 or Tier 3 analysis is not desired and should not be included in the proposed services. Please confirm.

Fire District’s Response

- 1 My interpretation of the RFP was that ASCE-31, Seismic Evaluation of Existing Buildings, and ASCE-41, Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, were to be done in a coherent and complementary manner. With that I believe that Tier 2 and Tier 3 evaluations would be completed prior to proceeding to the ASCE-41 guidance for rehabilitation.

Question 2

2. We have assumed that the design of the seismic upgrade should be based upon ASCE 41 procedures, using Immediate Occupancy performance at the BSE-1 earthquake acceptance criteria. This criteria applies to both structural and non-structural seismic upgrades. Please confirm.

Fire District’s Response

- 2 I believe that the RFP and grant application talk about the seismic upgrades should be based on the ASCE 41 procedures for Immediate Occupancy performance standards.